

Ogden North Comment Grid 6\_8mh.xlsxOgden North Comment Grid 6\_8mh.xlsx

PUBLIC COMMENTS: Ogden North Draft TSP & Lease			
Public Comment Period: May 4 to June 2, 2010			
Public Comment Hearing: May 18, 2010			
INDIVIDUAL OR ORGANIZATION	MODE OF COMMUNICATION	COMMENT	CHA RESPONSE
Business Professional People for the Public Interest (BPI)	Email	Section 4.2 of the TSP authorizes the Agent (Interstate Realty Management) to create a waiting list in the event that no public housing waiting list exists. Section 4.3 of the TSP refers to CHA's approval of a site-based waiting list for public housing residents to be maintained by "the Agent" (IRM), and describes conditions for the operation of such a waiting list.	Thank you for your comment. You have accurately stated Section 4.2 of the TSP.
Business Professional People for the Public Interest (BPI)	Email	CHA is subject to the orders entered in Gautreaux v. CHA, which do not allow for site-based waiting lists. Therefore, any site-based waiting list would require prior approval from the Gautreaux court. Before supporting such approval, the Gautreaux plaintiffs would first need to be satisfied that specific and detailed monitoring procedures have been put in place by CHA and HUD to ensure that creation and maintenance of the list would not violate Gautreaux goals. We suggest that references to a site-based waiting list be removed from the TSP until CHA has obtained such approval from the court.	CHA continues to uphold the judicial provision arising out of the Gautreaux v. CHA court order. Any tenant selection strategy CHA seeks to implement at Ogden North, will be in alignment with both the Gautreaux spirit and goals. Your comment will be taken under considerations prior to finalizing the TSP for this site.
Business Professional People for the Public Interest (BPI)	Email	We also question the wisdom of authorizing a site-based waiting list when CHA is on the cusp of opening its own public housing waiting list to 40,000 new families. A site-based list created at this juncture to provide a back-up pool for the public housing wait list could result in a list of families that might never be housed, creating unfair and unrealistic expectations in a potentially needy population, recreating the experience of public housing applicants, who waited for years, some even decades on previous CHA waiting lists.	CHA does not seek to create a "back-up pool" for the public housing waitlist. Rather through the cited provisions, developer/owners are extended the authority to create their own wait list. Both the requirementst of the Relocations Rights Contract and the guiding principles arising out of the Gautreaux Order remain the platform upon which the criteria in the TSP is based. Through this and other similar provisions CHA seeks to expand as opposed to limit housing options for low-income families.